## Case 3:05-cr-00098-HDM-RAM Document 521 Filed 09/22/15 Page 2 of 2

extent the motion raises arguments cognizable in a § 2255 petition, the court is without jurisdiction to consider defendant's motion. The petition is therefore **DISMISSED**. Defendant previously filed a Rule 60(b) motion on February 10, 2014. On May 16, 2014, the court denied defendant's prior Rule 60(b) motion, and on July 14, 2014, the Court of Appeals declined to issue a certificate of appealability. Accordingly, defendant's motion to vacate the court's order of May 16, 2014, is **DENIED**. For the foregoing reasons, the defendant's motion to vacate, or in the alternative Rule 60(b) motion, is hereby **DENIED**. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: This 22nd day of September, 2015. Howard DMEKiller UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE